ACUMINATIN, A NEW BIS-PHENYLPROPIDE FROM *MAGNOLIA ACUMINATA* L.

R. W. DOSKOTCH and M. S. FLOM

Division of Natural Products Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio432lO. USA

(Received USA I7 *April 1912* ; *Received* UK/or *publication I9 June 1972)*

Abstract-Three uncommon lignans calopiptin (1), galgravin (2) and veraguensin (3) were isolated from M. *acwnhara* root-bark. along with a novd his-phenylpropide, acuminatia (4) which was characterized by physical and chemical methods.

MAGNOLIA ACUWNATA L. (family Magnoliaceae) commonly called the cucumber tree, because of its curious looking fruit, is a tall forest tree of the eastern and mainly southern parts of the United States, and is found predominantly on the mountain slopes. In addition to being an ornamental, it has been a source of lumber, and its bark was at one time an official drug,' used in the treatment of malaria and rheumatism, although the root is believed to be more eflicient. We have examined the neutral fraction of the root bark and have identified three lignans; calopiptin **(l),** galgravin (2), veraguensin (3) and a new bis-phenylpropide for which the trivial name acuminatin (4) was chosen.

The four compounds were isolated by column chromatography on neutral alumina and on silicic acid. Acuminatin (4) was eluted first, followed by calopiptin (l), galgravin (2) and veraguensin (3). Identification of calopiptin **(1)** and galgravin (2) was by comparison of the physical properties with published data The former was previously obtained from Piptocalyx moorei Oliv. (family Trimeniaceae),² and its absolute stereochemistry was established in 1968 ;³ while the latter was isolated from Himantandra belgraveana F. Muell. (family Himantandraceae).⁴ The identification of veraguensin (3) was made by direct comparison with an authentic sample* obtained from Ocotea veraguensis Mez. (family Lauraceae).⁵ Veraguensin has also been isolated from Trimenia papuana (family Trimeniaceae).³

^{*} We thank Professor C. Djerassi of Stanford Univeristy for the sample of veraguensin.

Acuminatin (4), mp 77.5°, analyzed for $C_{21}H_{24}O_4$ and showed a molecular ion in the high resolution mass spectrum in agreement with the formula. The UV spectrum indicated conjugated benzenoid absorption consistent with an β -methylstyrene system.⁶ The NMR spectrum exhibited two Me doublets at δ 1.36 ($J = 70$ Hz, epoxide Me) and 184 (peak separation of 5.4 Hz is discussed below), sharp singlets at δ 3.86 and 3.83 integrating for one and two OMe groups, respectively, a one proton singlet at δ 5.08 ($J = 9.5$ Hz) for the benzylic and epoxide proton, and a five peak multiplet (two overlapping quartets) at δ 3.43 (J = 9.5 and 7.0 Hz) for the other epoxide proton. These and other assignments which follow were established by double-irradiation experiments. A deceptively simple pattern for the AB part of an ABX₃ system (J_{AB} = 15.5 Hz) was observed between δ 5.8 and 6.4, where the X₃ portion was the doublet at δ 1.84, with the line splitting $J_{AX} + J_{BX}$. A nearly identical pattern has been reported for trans-isoeugenol' and supports the presence of a trans- β -methylstyrene unit in acuminatin. The five aromatic protons are located as a complex pattern between δ 6.5 and 7.1.

Since the remaining oxygen function of acuminatin appeared unreactive, and the IR spectrum lacked OH and CO adsorption, an oxirane substituted by an aromatic ring and a Me group was suggested, for which the NMR spectrum was in agreement. The large coupling constant $(J = 9.5)$ between the vicinal protons of the epoxide points to cis stereochemistry, although the value is higher than generally observed.⁸ For example, the model compounds cis- and trans-epoxides 5 and 6, prepared from cis- and trans-isoeugenol benzoate esters with m-chloroperbenzoic acid, showed vicinal coupling of $J = 4.2$ and 20 Hz, respectively. It appears that in acuminatin the unusually large coupling constant must result from the influence of the biphenyl system, since degradation products 7 and 10 have similarly large values.

Oxidation of acuminatin (4) by the Lemieux-von Rudloff procedure' formed the epoxy-aldehyde 7 and the biphenyl dicarboxylic acid 8. The epoxy-aldehyde 7 showed in the IR spectrum the aromatic aldehyde adsorption at 1685 cm^{-1} , and in the NMR spectrum the aldehydic proton peak at δ 9.87. The Me of the propylene oxide appeared as a doublet at δ 1.46 ($J = 6.8$ Hz) and the vicinal protons adsorbed at δ 5.29 (doublet, $J = 9.2$) and 3.58 (double quartet, $J = 9.2$ and 6.8). The corresponding epoxy-acid 9 was prepared by $KMnO₄$ oxidation of acuminatin and was characterized as the methyl ester 10.

The biphenyl dimethyl ester 11 prepared from the acid 8 was identified as $2.2'$. 3trimethoxy-5,5'dimethoxycarbonylbiphenyl by comparison with an authentic sample prepared by synthesis via the Ullmann reaction from methyl 3-iodo-4methoxybenzoate¹⁰ and 5-iodoveratraldehyde.¹¹ The products of the reaction were the two symmetrical biphenyls, 2,2'-dimethoxy-5,5'dimethoxycarbonylbiphenyl'2 (12) and $2,2',3,3'$ -tetramethoxy-5,5'-diformylbipheny¹¹³ (13), and the unsymmetrical 22',3-trimethoxy-5-formyl-5'-methoxycarbonylbiphenyl (14). Permanganate oxidation of the unsymmetrical biphenyl 14 and methylation of the product with diazomethane gave 2,2',3-trimethoxy-5,5'dimethoxycarbonylbiphenyl (11) identical with the product from acuminatin; thus establishing for it the substutution position of the methoxy groups and the side chains.

Evidence for placing the propylene oxide group on the phenyl ring bearing. two OMe groups was obtained from mass spectral studies of acuminatin (4) and its degradation products, epoxy-aldehyde 7 and epoxy-ester 10. AU showed a peak at m/e 151 with intensities 11, 25 and 17%, respectively, corresponding to a $C_9H_{11}O_2$ fragment; the dimethoxytropylium ion 15 visualized as arising by the fragmentations shown in Scheme 1.

EXPERIMENTAL

M.ps were taken in sealed evacuated capillaries and are corrected. IR spectra were taken in CHCl₃ or as stated otherwise on a Perkin-Elmer lnfracord 237 or 257 spectrophotometer, and UV spectra were taken in EtOH on a Cary Model I5 recording spectrophotometer, NMR spectra were measured in CDCI, on a Varian A-60A or a HA-100 instrument with TMS as internal standard and chemical shifts reported in δ (ppm) units; singlets are not designated, $d =$ doublet, $t =$ triplet, $q =$ quartet and $m =$ multiplet. Double-irradiation studies were performed in the frequency sweep mode on the latter instrument. Optical rotations were taken on a Zeiss polarimeter. Mass spectra were obtained on an AEI MS-9 double focusing mass spectrometer via the direct inlet mode at 70 eV.

Extraction and initial fractionation. The dried and powdered root bark (1 kg) of Magnolia acuminata L^* was percolated at room temp with 25 1 EtOH. The extract residue (190 g) after removal of alkaloids with 2% aqueous citric acid was partitioned between 10% aqueous MeOH and light petroleum (bp 60-70°). The light petroleum residue (31 g) was divided into two parts and each part was chromatographed on 600 g of activity I neutral alumina beginning with benzene as eluting solvent and proceeding through benzene CHCI₃ in ratios of 9:1, 9:2 and 1:1, and then with CHCI₃ and CHCI₃-MeOH (1:1). The orange oil (6:31 g) eluted with CHCI,: MeOH (1:1) was rechromatographed on a column (300 g) of silicic acid-diatomaceous earth (4:1) using benzene-CHCl₃ (1:9) as eluent. Column fractions (15 ml) were evaporated, the residue weighed and analyzed by TLC on silica gel G and CHCl₃ (System A) or CHCl₃-EtOAc (40:1) (System B) as solvent. Detection was by spraying with Et_2O -conc H_2SO_4 (4:1) to give reddish-purple zones.

Calopiptin (1). Silicic acid column fractions $88-101$ (335 mg) containing material with R_f 0.21 (System A) were combined and crystallized from isopropyl ether and then hexane to give colorless rosettes of 1: mp 94.5°; $\lceil \alpha \rceil^{26}$ + 45.8° (c 0.66, CHCl₃); UV max 282 nm (log c 3.82) and 233 nm (4.16); IR 1612 m, 1596 m, 1520 vs. 1490 vs. 1444 vs. 1250 vs. 1234 vs. 1162 s. 1127 vs. 1027 vs and 930 s cm⁻¹; and NMR δ 0.67 (d. J 6.7 Hz, C-3 Me). 1.05 (d, 6.2, C-2 Me), 1.8 (m, H₂), 2.2 (m, H₃), 3.90 and 3.93 (2 OMe), 4.38 (d, 8.7, H₁), 5.11

* Obtained from the Secrest Arboretum, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster, Ohio, through thecourtesy of Mr. T. F. Wonderling.

 $(d, 8.2, H_a)$, 5.95 (OCH₂O) and 6.8-7.2 (m, ArH): [lit² mp 95.5°, [α]¹⁴ + 28° (c 2.086, CHCl), and the UV, **IR and NMR data were in agreement].**

Galgravin (2). Column fractions 106-111, (354 mg) were part of a single peak (fractions 106-123) con**taining both galgravin and veraguensin and were pooled after GLC (4 R column of 3.8% silicone gum** rubber UC W-98 adsorbed on Diatoport (80–100 mesh) at 230^e using a flame ionization detector) indicated it was mainly one component. Crystallization several times from hexane gave pure 2: mp 121^c. [α] $^{22}_{0}$ 0^o **(c 1.30, CHCI,), UV max 278 nm (log r: 3.82~ and 233 (4.311: IR 1612 m. 1595 m, 1515 v\$ 1465** VS. **1260 vs.** 1235 vs. 1160 vs. 1140 vs. 1025 vs and 885 m cm⁻¹; and NMR δ 1.05 (d, J 6.3 Hz, C-2 and -3 Me). 2.37 (m. **H**, and H_1 , 4.61 (d, 6.6), 3.91 and 393 (4 OMe), and 6.9-7.3 (m, ArH): $\left[\text{lit}^4 \text{ mp } 121^{\circ} \right]$, the NMR peaks were **in agreement with reported values'].**

Veraquensin (3). Fractions 119-123 of the single peak (fractions 106-123) were pooled, after GLC indicated them to contain mainly one component, and crystallized from $Et₂O$ to give prisms of veraguensin: mp $128-129^\circ$; [x]²⁵ + 41.7° (c 0.96, MeOH); UV max 278 nm (log c 3.79) and 233 (4.28); IR 1613 m, 1595 m, **1315 vs. 1465 vs. 1260 vs. 1235 s. II60 s, 1140 vs and 1025 vs: and NMR 6 @66 (d, J 6.7 Hz, C-3 Me), I.06 (d. 6.2. C-2 Me). I.7 (m. H,). 2.2 (m. H,), 3.88 and 3.92 (4 OMe). 4.43 (d. 8.6. H,), 5,16(d. 8.2. H,)and 6.8-7.2** (ArH): $\left[$ lit⁵ mp 128-129°; $\left[\alpha\right]_0^{25}$ + 34.2° (c 1.10, CHCl₃), and the NMR peaks are in accord with the **literature values]. Admixture of our sample with authentic veraguensin did not show a mp depression, and the two gave identical IR spectra.**

Acuminurin **(4). Pooled column fractions 29-40 (67.8 mg) gave from isopropyl ether colorless needles of 4** mp 77.5° (Found: C. 73.94; H. 7.22. C₂₁H₂₄O₄ requires: C. 74.09; H. 7.11%₀); $\lceil \alpha \rceil_0^2$ + 43.3° (c 0.22, **MeOH) UV max 275 nm (log c 4.28) and shld at 312 (3.43): IR 1610. 1600 s. 1520 vs_ 1495 s, 1465 s. 1455 s, 1335 s. 1260 vs. 1140 vs. 1025 s. 960 cm⁻¹; and mass spectrum** m/e **340·1678 (M⁺, 100^o₆) with C₂₁H₂₄O₄ requiring 340.1674.**

Isoeugenol cis-epoxide benzoate (5). cis-Isoeugenol benzoate¹⁴ (1.61 g) in 30 ml CHCl₃ at 0^e was trcated dropwise (35 min) with 1.23 g m-chloroperbenzoic acid in 30 ml CHCl₃. After 31 hr at 5[°] the solution was extracted with dil NaHCO₃ aq and H₂O. The CHCI₃-soluble oil was chromatographed on activity **V neutral alumina with benzene as solvent. Crystallization of the column fraction from benzene-light petroleum gave 267 mg of 5: mp 83-84" (Found: C. 71.92; H. 5.89. C_{1.7}H₁₆O₄ requires: C. 71.82; H. 5.67 %); UV max 282 nm (log c 364). 275 (3.67) and 228 (4.32); IR I738 (ester), 1260 and 830 cm -** ' **(epoxide): and NMR 6 1. I3 (d. J 5.4. Me), 3.36 (m, P-H). 4.08 (d. 4.2 benzylic H) and 3.83 (OMe).**

lsoeugenol trans-epoxide henzoure (6L rrans-Isoeugenol benzoate" (I.61 g) was epoxidized as described for the cis isomer and the product crystallized. without prior chromatography. from benzene-light petre leum to give 857 mg of 6: mp 79–80" (Found: C. 71.82; H. 5.69. C₁₇H₁₆O₄ requires: C. 71.83; H. 5.67%); IR 1738 (ester), 1255 and 842 cm⁻¹ (epoxide): and NMR δ **1.45 (d, J 5.2. Me). 3.03 (m.** β **-H). 3.61 (d, 2.0. benzyhc H and 3.81 (OMe).**

Oxidation of acuminatin (4) by the Lemieux-von Rudloff method. Acumination (4, 186 mg) and anhyd Na₂CO₃ (42 mg) were dissolved in 67 ml t-BuOH and 111 ml H₂O, and treated with 928 mg NalO₄ and **18 mg KMnO, in 45 ml H,O for 48 hr with stirrmg Solid NaHSO, was added to clear the soln which was then basified with solid Na,CO,. The solvent was removed by evaporation, H,O was added and the** mixture was extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCl₃-soluble oil (77 mg) was chromatographed on 5 g silicic **acid eluting with solvents beginning with benzene and proceeding through increasing amounts of CHCI,** to pure CHCl₁. The CHCl₁ fraction gave a homogeneous oil $(7, 31 \text{ mg})$: $\lceil x \rceil_0^2 + 89^\circ$ (c 2.2, MeOH); *R*, *040* **on TLC in silica gel G (benzene-CHCI,): positive 2,4-dinotrophenylhydrazine reaction; mass spec**trum m/e 328 (M⁺, 100%), 313 (31), 253 (14), 164 (20) and 151 (41); IR 1685 vs, 1590 vs, 1377 vs, 1265 vs, 1140 **vs.** 1030 vs; uv max 304 nm ($\log e$ 4.18), 288 (4.19) and 235 (4.39); and NMR δ 1.46 (d, J 6.8, Me), 3.58 (m, BH). **5.29 (d. 9.2). 3.89 (2 OMe), 3.97 (OMe), 6.9-7.1 (m. 3H. ArH), 7.3-7.5 (m. 2H. ArH) and 9.87 (CHO).**

The Na₂CO₃ sol after removal of aldehyde 7 was acidified to pH 2 (HCl) and extracted with CHCl₃. The CHCI₃-soluble oil (20 mg) was treated with ethereal CH_2N_2 and the product purified on a short column of silicic acid eluting with CHCI₃. The one spot fraction (TLC, R_f 0.48 in benzene–CHCI₃ and R_f 0.82 in CHCI₃) weighed 9 mg and crystallized to give 4 mg of 11, mp 107-108°, identical with (IR, NMR and **mmp) an authentic synthetic sample.**

Oxidation oj ocuminatin 4 wirh KMnO, and methylorion CO *product* 10. **Acuminatin (4. 200 mg) was** dissolved in 30 ml Me₂CO and treated with 800 mg KMnO₄ over 0.5 hr at reflux temp. After another **05 hr of rellux the volume was reduced lo IO ml (40 ml) H,O wa3 added and the mixture boiled. then liltered to remove MnO,. The filtrate at pH 90 was extracted with CHCl, then acidified lo pH 2 (HCI) and extracted** with CHCI₃. The CHCI₃-soluble amorphous material $(9, 187 \text{ mg})$, one spot on TLC $[R, 0.73, CHCl₃]$ HOAc (93:7)] and IR bands at 3500, 3320-3000, and 1685 cm⁻¹ (COOH) had a D₂O exchangeable broad peak in the nmr at δ 8.80.

Methylation of 50 mg of acid 9 in Et₂O-MeOH (7:3) with ethereal CH₂N, gave a crude acid that was passed through a short silicic acid column in **CHCI, to give** 10 as an **oil; IR 1710 vs, 1610 s, 1600 s. 1315 s,** 1330 vs, 1250 vs and 1025 s: mass spectrum m/e 358.1417 (100%) [C₂₀H₂₂O₆ requires: 358.1416], 343 (8), **327 (8) and 151.0749 (15)** $[C_0H_{11}O_7]$ **requires: 151.0759]; and NMR** δ **1.44 (d, J 6.8. Me) 3.53 (m. B-H).** 5.23 (d, 9.0). 389 (2 OMe). 3.91 and 394 (2 OMe), 6870 (m, 3H, ArH). 7.5-76 (AB doublet. outer peaks too weak **to designate, inner peaks at 6 7.53 and 7.55).**

2,2',3-Trimethoxy-5-formyl-5'-methoxycarbonylbiphenyl (14). 5-Iodoveratraldehyde¹¹ (84[.]7 g) and methyl **3-iodo4methoxybenzoate'" were dissolved in** 700 ml dimethylformamide, then stirred under reflux with 140 g of copper bronze for 12 hr, at which time an additional 140 g of copper bronze was added and refluxing continued for 12 hr more. Cu salts were filtered from the cooled sohr and the solvent evaporated at reduced pressure to give 105 g of a dark oil which when treated with 500 ml Et₂O deposited 22.5 g of 12, mp 173-174" (from **Et,O)** [lit" mp 174175"]. (Found: C. 6546; H. 560. C,,H,,O, requires: C, 6544; H, 5.49 %), IR 1715 cm⁻¹ (ester), and NMR δ 3.81 (2 OMe, ether) and 3.88 (2 OMe, ester).

Concentration of the Et₁O soln to 200 ml deposited 13, mp $137-138^{\circ}$ (from Et₁O) [lit¹³ mp $138-140^{\circ}$]. (Found: C, 65.46; H, 5.54. $C_{18}H_{18}O_6$ requires: C, 65.44; H, 5.49%), IR 1690 cm⁻¹ (aldehyde), and NMR δ 3.78 and 3.98 (4 OMe), 7.41 and 7.53 (q, AB type, J 2, ArH) and 9.88 (CHO).

The oil from the Et₂O filtrate was dissolved in 175 ml benzene and extracted with 3-300 ml 1.35 M NaHSO₃. The NaHSO₃ extract was basified, extracted with CHCl₃ and the CHCl₃-soluble oil (22.8 g) yielded veratraldehyde mp $44-45^{\circ}$ (from Et₂O) identical with an authentic sample.

The benzene-soluble oil (47.5 g) after removal of veratraldehyde was mixed with 100 ml sat NaHSO, sol and 70 ml EtOH. The mixture was filtered, diluted with **H,O to 400 ml. and** extracted with ether. The ether residue crystallized from Et₂O to give 5.3 g of 14. Acidification of the aqueous bisulfite soln, extraction with CHCI, and crystallization of the residue from Et,O-light petroleum ether gave an additional 99 g of 14: mp 91-92°. (Found: C, 65.42: H, 5.60. C₁₈H₁₈O₆ requires: C, 65.44: H, 5.49%): IR 1725 (ester) and 1695 cm⁻¹ (aldehyde); UV max 258 nm (log ε 4.31) and 230 nm (4.81); and nmr δ 3.75, 3.85, 3.89 and 3.98 (OMe). 6.9-8.2 (AB and ABC spin system& ArH), and 992 (CHO).

2,2',3-7kimerhoxy-5,5'-merhoxycorbonylbipheny/ (11). Compound 14 (I.5 g) was stirred in 75 ml 5% $Na₂CO₃$ soln and 60 g KMnO₄ added slowly. After stirring 21 hr, NaHSO, was added along with 5 ml cone HCl. The white ppt that formed was taken up in $CH₂Cl₂$ and after removal of solvent weighed 1.3 g. mp 274-277°. Without purification the acid was dissolved in 23 ml MeOH containing 1.8 ml acetyl chloride¹⁵ and refluxed 21 hr. The solid remaining after evaporation of solvent crystallized from Et₂O-MeOH and then Et,O to give 619 mg of 11; mp 109-110°. (Found: C, 63.37; H, 5.65. $C_{19}H_{20}O_7$ requires: C, 63.33; H, 5.59%), IR 1710 cm-', UV max 258 nm (log E 4.17) and 225 (4.29); NMR 6 390 (2 OMe, **ester),** 3.98, 3.85 , 3.73 (OMe, ether), and 7.5 8.2 (AB and ABC spin systems, ArH).

Acknowledqmenrs-This study was supported **in part by Public Health Research Grant CA-08133 from the National Cancer Institute and FR-03328** from Special Research Resources **for purchas of the nmr spectrometer (Varian A-6OA) and accessories. We thank Dr. R. L. Folz of Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio, and Mr. R. Weisenberger of the Chemistry Department for the mass spectral determinations.**

REFERENCES

- ' *Pharmacopeio* of the *Unired Stares of* **America (6th Decennial Revision) p. 215. William Wood. N.Y. (1883);** *The Dispensatory ofthe United Srares of&teriuo* **(20th Edition)** p. **1479. (Edited** by J. P. Remington and H. C. Wood) Lippincott, Philadelphia (1918)
- ² N. V. Riggs and J. D. Stevens, *Aust. J. Chem.* **15**, 305 (1962)
- **' J. B. McAlpine, N. V. Riggs and P. G. Gordon.** *Ibid. 21.2095 (1968)*
- ^l*G.* **K. Hughes and E. Ritchie. Ibid. 7,104 (1954)**
- ⁵ N. S. Crossley and C. Djerassi, *J. Chem. Soc.* 1459 (1962)
- $^{\circ}$ A. 1. Scott, Interpretation of the *Ultraviolet Spectra of Natural Products p.* 97. Macmillan, New York **(1964).**
- ⁷ H. Rottendorf, S. Sternhell and J. R. Wilmshurst, Aust. J. Chem. 18, 1759 (1965)
- 8 L. M. Jackman and S. Sternhell, *Application of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in Organic Chemistry* **(2nd edition) p. 287. Pergamon Press, New York (1969)**
- ' E. van Rudloff, Canud. J. Chem. 43,1784 (1965)
- ¹⁰ F. G. Badder, L. S. El-Assal and V. B. Baghos, J. Chem. Soc. 1714 (1955)
- ¹¹ F. B. Wittmer and L. C. Raiford, *J. Org. Chem.* **10**, 527 (1945)
- " J. Runeberg, *Acfa Ckm. Stand.* 12.188 (1958); Y. Sugi.J. Pharm Sot. *Japan50.23 (1930)*
- *" M.* Nilsson, *Acta Gem. Stand. 12. 1830 (1958);* K. Elbs and H. Lerch, *J. Prakr. Chem* 93 [2]. 1 (1916)
- ¹⁴ F. Boedecker and H. Volk. Ber. Dtsch Chem. Ges. 64, 61 (1931)
- ¹⁵ J. Gmuender, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 36, 2021 (1953)